


BS 476: Part 7: 1997



Method For Classification Of The Surface Spread Of Flame Of Products

A Report To: Resimac Ltd

Document Reference: 535540

Date: 30th August 2023

Issue No.: 1

Page 1



0249

Executive Summary

Objective To determine the surface spread of flame classification of the following product when tested in accordance with BS 476: Part 7: 1997.

Generic Description	Product reference	Thickness	Density, weight per unit area or specific gravity
A three coating system applied to a cement particle board substrate	"Resichem 520 Wall Coat UV"	12.15mm	24.75kg/m ² *
Individual components used to manufacture composite:			
Final coating product	"Resichem 520 Wall Coat UV"	2 x 50 microns (dft)	1.23
First coating product	"Resichem 521 GP Epoxy Primer"	45 microns (dft)	1.48
Cement particle board substrate	"Versapanel Cement Particle Board"	12mm	1.25g/cm ³
Please see page 5 & 6 of this test report for the full description of the product tested			



Test Sponsor Resimac Ltd, Unit B, Park Barn Estate, Station Road, Topcliffe, Thirsk , YO7 3SE

Test Results: **Class 1**

An uncertainty of measurement estimation has been conducted in relation to the distance travelled by the flame front and the findings are as detailed in Appendix 2.

Date of Test 18th August 2023

Signatories

	
Responsible Officer D. Roberts * Testing Officer	Authorised T. Deluce * Technical Lead

* For and on behalf of [Warringtonfire](#).

Report Issued: 30th August 2023

This version of the report has been produced from a pdf format electronic file that has been provided by [Warringtonfire](#) to the sponsor of the report and must only be reproduced in full. Extracts or abridgements of reports must not be published without permission of [Warringtonfire](#).

Document No.: 535540
Author: D. Roberts
Client: Resimac Ltd

Page No.: 2 of 11
Issue Date: 30th August 2023
Issue No.: 1



0249

CONTENTS	PAGE NO.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
SIGNATORIES.....	2
TEST DETAILS.....	4
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SPECIMENS.....	5
TEST RESULTS	7
APPENDIX 1 – TEST RESULTS.....	8
APPENDIX 2 – UNCERTAINTY OF MEASUREMENT	9
APPENDIX 3 – CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA	10
REVISION HISTORY	11



Test Details

Purpose of test	To determine the performance of a product when it is subjected to the conditions of the test specified in BS 476: Part 7: 1997, "Fire tests on building materials and structures, method for classification of the surface spread of flame of products". This test was therefore performed in accordance with the procedure specified in BS 476: Part 7: 1997 and this report should be read in conjunction with that British Standard.
Scope of test	BS 476: Part 7: 1997 specifies a method of test for measuring the lateral spread of flame along the surface of a specimen of a product orientated in the vertical position, and a classification system based on the rate and extent of flame spread. It provides data suitable for comparing the performances of essentially flat materials, composites, or assemblies, which are used primarily as the exposed surfaces of walls or ceilings.
Fire test study group/EGOLF	Certain aspects of some fire test specifications are open to different interpretations. The Fire Test Study Group and EGOLF have identified a number of such areas and have agreed Resolutions which define common agreement of interpretations between fire test laboratories which are members of the Groups. Where such Resolutions are applicable to this test they have been followed.
Instruction to test	The test was conducted on the 18th August 2023 at the request of Resimac Ltd, the sponsor of the test.
Provision of test specimens	The specimens were supplied by the sponsor of the test. Warringtonfire was not involved in any selection or sampling procedure. The results stated in this report apply to the sample as received.
Conditioning of specimens	The specimens were received on the 26th July 2023 and were conditioned to constant mass at a temperature of $23 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ and a relative humidity of $50 \pm 5\%$ prior to testing.
Form in which the specimens were tested	Composite - Combination of materials which are generally recognised in building constructions as discrete entities e.g. coated or laminated materials. Each specimen was tested in direct contact with a nominally 12mm thick non-combustible backing board.
Exposed face	The coated face of the specimens was exposed to the heating conditions of the test.

Document No.:	535540	Page No.:	4 of 11
Author:	D. Roberts	Issue Date:	30th August 2023
Client:	Resimac Ltd	Issue No.:	1



Description of Test Specimens

The description of the test specimens is detailed in below. Prior to conducting the test, [Warringtonfire](#) verified the conformity of the test specimens with the description of the test specimens provided by the sponsor. This verification consisted of the following:

1. Where possible, the construction of the test specimens was checked to ensure that it matched the description of the test specimens provided by the sponsor.
2. Where possible, the thickness, weight per unit area and density measurements of the test specimens were checked to ensure that they matched the description of the test specimens provided by the sponsor. [Warringtonfire](#) ensured that the measurements were within the manufacturing tolerances stated by the sponsor or within a tolerance of $\pm 10\%$ in the absence of a manufacturing tolerance.

Any areas of discrepancy identified by [Warringtonfire](#) during the verification process were resolved with the sponsor prior to starting the test.

Unless otherwise specified:

- The information including measurements was provided by the test sponsor.
- All measurements taken by [Warringtonfire](#) or the sub-contract laboratory as part of the verification process are clearly identified.

Where a measurement is listed without a verification measurement by [Warringtonfire](#) or sub-contract laboratory, this indicates that it was not possible for that measurement to be verified and the information supplied by the sponsor has to be relied on.

General description	A three coat coating system applied to a cement particle board substrate	
Product reference of coating system	"Resichem 520 Wall Coat UV"	
Name of manufacturer	Resimac Ltd	
Overall thickness	12.15mm (stated by sponsor) 12.48mm(determined by Warringtonfire)	
Overall weight per unit area	24.75kg/m ² (determined by Warringtonfire)	
Overall density	1.98g/cm ³ (determined by Warringtonfire)	
Final coating product (Test face)	Generic type	2 part, UV stable water based polyurethane
	Product reference	"Resichem 520 Wall Coat UV"
	Name of manufacturer	Resimac Ltd
	Colour	White (gloss)
	Number of coats	2
	Application thickness per coat	100 micron (wet film thickness) 50 micron (dry film thickness)
	Application rate per coat	10m ² /litre
	Specific gravity	1.23g/cm ³
	Application method	Brush and roller
	Flame retardant details	See Note 1 below
Curing process	7 day at ambient temperatures, circa 20°C	

Continued on next page.

First coating product	Generic type	2 part water based epoxy
	Product reference	"Resichem 521 GP Epoxy Primer"
	Name of manufacturer	Resimac Ltd
	Colour	White
	Number of coats	1
	Application thickness	100 micron (wet film thickness) 45 micron (dry film thickness)
	Application rate	10m ² /litre
	Specific gravity	1.48g/cm ³
	Application method	Brush and roller
	Flame retardant details	See Note 1 below
	Curing process	Overnight at ambient, circa 20°C
Substrate	Generic type	Cement particle board
	Product reference	"Versapanel Cement Particle Board"
	Name of manufacturer	Versapanel
	Thickness	12mm
	Density	1.25g/cm ³
Flame retardant details	See Note 2 below	
Brief description of manufacturing process of coatings		See Note 2 below

Note 1: The sponsor of the test has confirmed that no flame retardant additives were utilised in the production of the component.

Note 2: The sponsor was unable to provide this information.

Test Results

Results and observations

The test results for the individual specimens, together with observations made during the test and comments on any difficulties encountered during the test are given in Appendix 1.

Classification

In accordance with the class definitions given in BS 476: Part 7: 1997; the specimens tested are classified as Class 1.

An uncertainty of measurement estimation has been conducted in relation to the distance travelled by the flame front and the findings are as detailed in Appendix 2.

Criteria for classification

If the prefix 'D' or suffix 'R' or 'Y' is included in the classification, this indicates that the results should be treated with caution. An explanation of the reason for the prefix and suffixes is given in Appendix 3, together with the classification limits specified in the Standard.

Applicability of test result

The test results relate only to the behaviour of the test specimens of the product under the particular conditions of test; they are not intended to be the sole criterion for assessing the potential fire hazard of the product in use.

The test results relate only to the specimens of the product in the form in which they were tested. Small differences in the composition or thickness of the product may significantly affect the performance during the test and may therefore invalidate the test results. Care should be taken to ensure that any product which is supplied or used is fully represented by the specimens which were tested.

Validity

The specification and interpretation of fire test methods are the subject of ongoing development and refinement. Changes in associated legislation may also occur. For these reasons it is recommended that the relevance of test reports over five years old should be considered by the user. The laboratory that issued the report will be able to offer, on behalf of the legal owner, a review of the procedures adopted for a particular test to ensure that they are consistent with current practices, and if required may endorse the test report.

This report may only be reproduced in full. Extracts or abridgements shall not be published without permission of [Warringtonfire](#).

Document No.:	535540	Page No.:	7 of 11
Author:	D. Roberts	Issue Date:	30th August 2023
Client:	Resimac Ltd	Issue No.:	1



Appendix 1 – Test Results

SPECIMEN No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
Maximum distance travelled at 1.5 minutes (mm)	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
Distance (mm)	Time to travel to indicated distance (minutes : seconds)					
75						
165						
190						
215						
240						
265						
290						
375						
455						
500						
525						
600						
675						
710						
750						
785						
825						
Time to reach maximum distance travelled	1:00	1:00	1:00	1:00	1:00	1:00
Maximum distance travelled in 10 minutes (mm)	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50

Note: Six specimens are usually tested. If the test on any specimen is deemed to be invalid, as defined in the Standard, it is permissible for up to a maximum of nine specimens to be tested in order to obtain the six valid test results.

Observations made during test and comments on any difficulties encountered during the test:

None.

Document No.: 535540
Author: D. Roberts
Client: Resimac Ltd

Page No.: 8 of 11
Issue Date: 30th August 2023
Issue No.: 1



Appendix 2 – Uncertainty of Measurement

Specimen No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
Maximum distance travelled at 1.5 minutes (mm)	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3
Maximum distance travelled in 10 minutes (mm)	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3	±3

The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor $k=2$, providing a coverage probability of approximately 95%. The uncertainty evaluation has been carried out in accordance with UKAS requirements.

Document No.: 535540
Author: D. Roberts
Client: Resimac Ltd

Page No.: 9 of 11
Issue Date: 30th August 2023
Issue No.: 1



0249

Appendix 3 – Classification Criteria

Classification of spread of flame

Classification	Spread of Flame at 1.5 min		Final Spread of Flame	
	Limit (mm)	Limit for one specimen (mm)	Limit (mm)	Limit for one specimen (mm)
Class 1	165	165 + 25	165	165 + 25
Class 2	215	215 + 25	455	455 + 45
Class 3	265	265 + 25	710	710 + 75
Class 4	Exceeding the limits for class 3			

Explanation of prefix and suffixes which may be added to the classification

1. A suffix R is added to the classification if more than six specimens are required in order to obtain six valid test results (e.g. class 2R).
2. A prefix D is added to the classification of any product which does not comply with the surface characteristics specified in the Standard and has therefore been tested in a modified form (e.g. class D3).
3. A suffix Y is added to the classification if any softening and/or other behaviour that may affect the flame spread occurs (e.g. class 3Y).

For example, a classification of D3RY could be achieved indicating (a) a modified surface has been used; (b) a class 3 result has been obtained; (c) additional specimens have been used to obtain 6 valid results and; (d) softening and/or other behaviour has occurred which is considered to have affected the test result.

Revision History

Issue No:	Re-issue Date:
Revised By:	Approved By:
Reason for Revision:	

Issue No:	Re-issue Date:
Revised By:	Approved By:
Reason for Revision:	